

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/623,925	07/21/2003	Yann Reubeuze	28944/40074	5378
29471	7590 07/06/2006		EXAMINER	
MCCRACKEN & FRANK LLP			WHITE, RODNEY BARNETT	
200 W. ADA SUITE 2150	MS STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO,	IL 60606		3636	
			DATE MAILED: 07/06/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/623,925	REUBEUZE ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Rodney B. White	3636			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAISIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Ap					
,	This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Applicati	on Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
2) Notice 3) Information	et(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) tr No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 3636

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

Applicant's arguments filed 04/21/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000.

Application/Control Number: 10/623,925

Art Unit: 3636

Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Benoit et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,007,153).

Benoit et al teach the structure as claimed (See Figures 1-7 and specification).

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ganot et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,095,608).

Ganot et al teach the structure as claimed (See Figures 1-12 and specification).

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ganot et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,164,723).

Ganot et al teach the structure as claimed (See Figures 1-3 and specification).

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Rohee et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,402,249 B1).

Rohee et al teach the structure as claimed (See Figure 3 and specification).

#### Remarks

Application/Control Number: 10/623,925

Art Unit: 3636

Applicant argues that Rohee et al, Benoit et al, Ganot et al, and Ganot do not disclose a locking member that "moves along an axis of displacement that is parallel to and offset relative to a radial direction that intersects the pivot axis." However, the above references are identical in structure to the present invention, and is evident by the drawings of the above references. Yet Applicant does not point out or define any structure, specifically in claim 1, how or what structure allows the locking member to "move along an axis of displacement that is parallel to and offset relative to a radial direction that intersects the pivot axis." The claims cannot be allowed simply because Applicant or Applicant's representative says the locking member functions as stated in Claim 1. There has to be some structure in the claims that supports or allows that function to occur. If the above references are identical in structure to the present invention, why is it that Applicant's Representative asserts that the present invention is different from the above references? Applicant needs to point out the structural differences and define those structural differences, i.e. add that structure to the claims with support for those structural differences or structural limitations being present in the specification to support those limitations.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 3636

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Rohee et al, Kopjima et al, and Reubeuze et al teach reclining mechanisms similar to the present invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rodney B. White whose telephone number is (571) 272-6863. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Cuomo can be reached on (571) 272-6856. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/623,925 Page 6

Art Unit: 3636

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rodney B. White, Patent Examiner Art Unit 3636 June 28, 2006

> RODNÉY B. WHITE RIMARY EXAMINER